

February 7, 2023

Mr. Craig W. Murray, P.E. D'Huy Engineering, Inc. One East Broad Street, Suite 130 Bethlehem, PA 18018

Re: Project No. 16530 Earthen Berm Soil Sampling Rodney Reservoir Site 1500 W Ninth Street Wilmington, Delaware

Dear Mr. Murray:

Verdantas LLC (Verdantas) submits this report to document soil sampling conducted at the above-referenced site (the "Property" or "Site"), located at 1500 West Ninth Street at the intersection of North Rodney Street and West Ninth Street in Wilmington, Delaware. It is our understanding that soils from the earthen berm surrounding the reservoir structure are planned to be used as fill material following the demolition of the reservoir structure.

Soil sampling was completed in November and December 2022. The objective was to collect soil samples from the earthen berm for environmental analysis prior to reuse following demolition of the reservoir structure. The environmental soil sampling and analysis was performed at the request of the Property Owner, the City of Wilmington, to screen for environmental character of the soils prior to soil disturbing activities.

A. FIELD ACTIVITIES

On November 7, 2022 and December 19, 2022, Verdantas' subcontractor, Ground Penetrating Radar Systems (GPRS), completed an underground utility survey to pre-clear soil boring locations along the earthen berm surrounding the reservoir.

Following pre-clearing, Verdantas personnel completed 19 hand-auger soil borings in the following locations (see Figure 1):

| Soil Boring Number | Berm Location | Depth of Boring (ft) | Refusal Encountered (Y/N) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| SB-1 | Northwest Corner | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-2 | Northeast Corner | 4 | No |
| SB-3 | Southeast Corner | 2 | Yes |
| SB-4 | Southwest Corner | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-5 | Northeast Corner | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-6 | Along N. Rodney Street | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-7 | Along N. Rodney Street | 1.5 | Yes |



| Soil Boring Number | Berm Location | Depth of Boring (ft) | Refusal Encountered (Y/N) |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| SB-8 | Along N. Rodney Street | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-9 | Southeast Corner | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-10 | Along W. 8th Street | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-11 | Along W. 8th Street | 2 | Yes |
| SB-12 | Southwest Corner | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-13 | Along N. Clayton Street | 2 | Yes |
| SB-14 | Along N. Clayton Street | 2 | Yes |
| SB-15 | Along N. Clayton Street | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-16 | Northwest Corner | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-17 | Along W. 9th Street | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-18 | Along W. 9th Street | 1.5 | Yes |
| SB-19 | Along W. 9th Street | 1.5 | Yes |

Four of the soil borings (SB-1 through SB-4) were completed on November 7, 2022. Following receipt of initial soil sample results, fifteen supplemental soil borings (SB-5 through SB-19) were completed on December 19, 2022, to provide additional soil data for statistical assessment of the analytical results.

During field activities, recovered soils were reviewed by Verdantas personnel for indications of environmental impact. A photoionization detector (PID) was used to evaluate the potential presence of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the soil borings. VOCs were not detected by the PID and no indications of environmental impact (e.g., odors, staining, debris) were observed.

Soils encountered during the field program generally consisted of topsoil from the surface to 0.5 feet below ground surface (bgs), underlain by reddish-brown sandy silt with gravel. Shallow refusal was encountered at depths between 1.5 - 2 feet bgs in all but one soil boring location due to the presence of 2 - 4-inch diameter stone.

One soil sample from each soil boring was collected for a total of 19 samples. Four samples (labeled SB-1 through SB-4) consisted of a composite sample representing the entire sampled depth and a discrete sample (e.g., grab) for analysis of VOCs. The remaining samples (labeled SB-5 through SB-19) consisted of one discrete sample. Collection of discrete samples was biased toward areas indicating the greatest environmental impact or at the terminal depth of the boring. Following sample collection, each soil boring was backfilled with soil cuttings to the ground surface.

Soil samples were submitted to Eurofins Environment Testing (Eurofins) for laboratory analysis of the parameters listed below. The samples were analyzed in general



accordance with clean fill testing requirements per the State of Delaware, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control – Remediation Section (DNREC-RS) Soil/Material Re-use Policy.

Four samples, SB-1, SB-2, SB-3, and SB-4 were analyzed for Target Compound List (TCL) VOCs (discrete samples), TCL semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs), TCL pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) aroclors, Target Analyte List (TAL) metals, and mercury. The remaining fifteen soil samples, SB-5 through SB-19, were analyzed for cobalt.

In addition, quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) samples were submitted to the laboratory, which included, a trip blank for analysis of TCL VOCs for the November 7, 2022, sampling event and an equipment blank was submitted for analysis of cobalt for the December 19, 2022, sampling event.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA SUMMARY

1. Analytical Results

Analytical results were compared to the DNREC-RS February 2022 Reporting Levels for Soil (Reporting Levels). Analytical results for detected compounds are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 (attached) and below. Due to file size, the analytical reports have been excluded from this report, however, copies of the November and December 2022 Eurofins analytical reports are available upon request.

Cobalt was reported at concentrations that exceeded the Reporting Level in two soil samples during the initial sampling in November. As a result, supplemental soil sampling was completed December 2022 to allow for an assessment of the potential impact of cobalt in the soils to human health and the environment.

a. TAL Metals, Mercury, and Cyanide

Multiple metals and mercury were reported in the soil samples. Only cobalt was reported at concentrations that exceeded the Reporting Level. Cobalt in five samples (SB-1-Composite, SB-4-Composite, SB-8, SB-11 and SB-15) was reported at concentrations ranging from 38.4 mg/kg to 59.8 mg/kg, which exceeded the Reporting Level of 34 mg/kg.

b. TCL SVOCs

Multiple SVOCs were reported in the soil samples, however, none of the concentrations exceeded the respective Reporting Levels.

c. TCL Pesticides

Pesticides were reported as not detected in the soil samples.



d. PCBs

PCBs were reported as not detected in the soil samples.

e. TCL VOCs

One VOC, methylene chloride, was detected in all analyzed samples. None of the reported concentrations exceeded the Reporting Level. Methylene chloride was also reported in the trip blank sample. Methylene chloride is a common laboratory contaminant and is unlikely to be present in soils on the Property.

C. RISK CALCULATION

Given the analytic results for cobalt, Verdantas quantitatively evaluated human health risk at the Site in general accordance with DNREC's "Guidance for Human Health Risk Assessment under the Hazardous Substance Cleanup Act" (HHRA Guidance), July 2020. The assessment considered the most conservative exposure scenario, residential land use.

Verdantas first input the maximum reported detection of cobalt (59.8 mg/kg) to the Delaware Risk Assessment Calculator (DERAC), a program developed by DNREC for use in human health risk assessments to provide quantitative assessment of cancer and noncancer risks. Using the maximum detected of cobalt in the calculator results in an unacceptable non-cancer risk. Following DNREC's policy and using all data collected for cobalt, a 95% Upper Confidence Limit (UCL) was then calculated using the USEPAdeveloped statistical software ProUCL 5.1 (ProUCL). The calculated 95% UCL of cobalt was 34.33 mg/kg, which was then input to the DERAC. The resulting cancer risk and non-cancer risk values (8x10⁻⁸ and 1, respectively) did not exceed the DNREC HSCA target cancer risk values of 1x10⁻⁵ and 1, respectively. Therefore, the risk calculation indicates that cobalt in soils does not pose unacceptable cancer and non-cancer risks under the regulation. A copy of the ProUCL input/output is included as Attachment B.

D. CONCLUSIONS

Verdantas collected soil samples from the earthen berm surrounding the Rodney reservoir in November and December 2022. Analytical results for the soil samples were compared to DNREC-RS Reporting Levels. No VOCs, SVOCs, pesticides, or PCBs were reported above the respective DNREC-RS Reporting Levels. Several metals were reported as detected, but only cobalt was reported at concentrations that exceeded the DNREC-RS Reporting Level.

A quantitative risk assessment was conducted using the calculated 95% UCL concentration of cobalt and a conservative, residential exposure scenario. The results of the calculation indicated that the presence of cobalt in soils does not pose an unacceptable risk to human health under Delaware's Regulations Governing Hazardous Substance Cleanup target cancer risk value and target non-cancer risk value of 1x10⁻⁵ and 1, respectively.



Due to the reported concentrations of cobalt exceeding the DNREC-RS Reporting Level, Owners or Operators are required to notify DNREC-RS in writing and 30-days in advance of any planned land disturbing activities at the Property. When the schedule is determined for the soil disturbance, we recommend contacting DNREC-RS and providing a copy of this report as soon as practical, to allow adequate time for review and discussion of the project prior to initiating site work.

We appreciate the opportunity to have been of service to you and look forward to providing you with continuing professional assistance with this project. If you have any questions or concerns with respect to this report or require further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

VERDANTAS LLC

Tre' Robinson Staff Engineer I

Robert B. Smagala Jr. Environmental Project Manager

TER/RBS:tm \\Verdantas.com\DFS\Project Files\16000\16530\Working\Report\Rpt-16530-20230207.docx

Attachments

Tables

Table 1: November 2022 Soil Sample Results Table 2: Cobalt Analytical Results November & December 2022 Sampling Events

Figures

Figure 1: Site Features Sketch Figure 2: Soil Sample Exceedance Sketch

Attachments

Attachment A – ProUCL Input/Output Attachment B – Risk Assessment DERAC Output



Tables

Table 1 - Confirmatory Analytical Detections November 2022 Sampling Event Rodney Reservoir Site, Wilmington, Delaware

| Client ID | DNREC-RS | | SB-1 | | SB-2 | 5 | B-3 | SE | 3-4 |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------|---------|-------|-----------|------|------------|--------|
| Lab Sample ID | Reporting Levels | 460-2694 | 145-1 | 460-269 | 445-2 | 460-26944 | 15-3 | 460-269445 | 5-4 |
| Samplina Date | Soil | 11/7/ | 2022 | 11/7 | /2022 | 11/7/2 | 022 | 11/7/20 |)22 |
| Matrix | February 2022 | ,., | Soil | | Soil | | Soil | S | Soil |
| Unit | ma/ka | m | a/ka | m | na/ka | mc | /ka | ma/ | 'ka |
| | | Result | 0 0 | Result | S, IS | Result | Ω | Result | 0 0 |
| Taraet Compound List (TCL) | Volatile Organic Compounds | s (VOCs) | | | | | - | | - |
| Methylene Chloride | 350 | 0.11 | J | 0.093 | J | 0.047 | U | 0.1 | J |
| Target Compound List (TCL) | Semivolatie Organic Compo | unds (SVOCs) | | | | | - | | _ |
| Acenaphthylene | 3600 | 0.012 | U | 0.012 | U | 0.011 | U | 0.036 | J |
| Anthracene | 18000 | 0.026 | J | 0.012 | U | 0.012 | U | 0.16 | J |
| Benzo[a]anthracene | 11 | 0.19 | | 0.014 | J | 0.031 | J | 0.60 | |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | 1.1 | 0.19 | | 0.011 | U | 0.026 | J | 0.40 | |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 11 | 0.24 | | 0.012 | J | 0.034 | J | 0.57 | |
| Benzo[g,h,i]perylene | - | 0.083 | J | 0.012 | U | 0.012 | J | 0.12 | J |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 110 | 0.11 | | 0.0079 | U | 0.013 | J | 0.19 | |
| Carbazole | - | 0.015 | U | 0.015 | U | 0.014 | U | 0.021 | J |
| Chrysene | 1100 | 0.18 | J | 0.0083 | J | 0.029 | J | 0.51 | |
| Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | 1.1 | 0.023 | J | 0.017 | U | 0.016 | U | 0.047 | |
| Fluoranthene | 2400 | 0.43 | | 0.014 | U | 0.053 | J | 1 | |
| Fluorene | 2400 | 0.012 | U | 0.012 | U | 0.011 | U | 0.047 | J |
| Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 11 | 0.10 | | 0.016 | U | 0.016 | J | 0.19 | |
| Naphthalene | 20 | 0.0070 | U | 0.0070 | U | 0.0066 | U | 0.012 | J |
| Phenanthrene | 1800 | 0.12 | J | 0.0071 | U | 0.033 | J | 0.53 | |
| Pyrene | 1800 | 0.36 | J | 0.011 | J | 0.041 | J | 0.72 | |
| TCL Pesticides | | | | | | | | | |
| No Pesticides detected in a | inalyzed samples. | ND | | ND | | ND | | ND | |
| Herbicides | | 1 | | | | | | F | |
| No Herbicides detected in a | analyzed samples. | ND | | ND | | ND | | ND | |
| Polychlorinated biphenyls a | iroclors | | | | | | | | |
| No PCBs detected in analzy | ved samples. | ND | | ND | | ND | | ND | |
| Target Analyte List (TAL) Me | tals | | | | | | - | | |
| Aluminum | 77000 | 53600 | | 29400 | | 29200 | | 38400 | |
| Antimony | 31 | 0.35 | U | 0.17 | U | 0.22 | J | 0.37 | U |
| Arsenic | 11 | 4.4 | | 4.8 | | 5.4 | | 5.8 | |
| Barium | 15000 | 174 | | 114 | | 117 | | 196 | |
| Beryllium | 160 | 0.94 | J | 0.78 | | 0.95 | | 1.2 | |
| Calcium | - | 581 | | 672 | | 659 | | 1140 | |
| Chromium | 214 | 82.5 | | 92.9 | | 108 | | 120 | |
| Cobalt | 34 | 41.4 | | 23.3 | | 23.3 | | 59.8 | |
| Copper | 3100 | 38.9 | | 25 | | 32.3 | | 61.7 | |
| Iron | 74767 | 51000 | | 32800 | | 35800 | | 49800 | |
| Lead | 400 | 34.4 | В | 16.8 | В | 39.8 | В | 82.4 | В |
| Magnesium | - | 576 | | 896 | | 851 | | 1000 | |
| Manganese | 2100 | 772 | | 578 | | 564 | | 1100 | |
| Nickel | 1500 | 27.9 | | 26.6 | | 23.8 | | 52.2 | |
| Potassium | • | 510 | | 490 | | 407 | | 546 | |
| Selenium | 390 | 0.53 | J | 0.460 | J | 0.58 | J | 0.73 | J |
| Thallium | 0.78 | 0.14 | J | 0.14 | J | 0.16 | J | 0.18 | J |
| Vanadium | 390 | 126 | | 79.5 | | 86.7 | | 115 | |
| Zinc | 23000 | 39.6 | | 24.4 | | 37.7 | | 46.1 | |
| Mercury | 11 | 0.080 | | 0.057 | | 0.12 | | 0.098 | |
| | 00 | 0.00 | | 0.01 | | 0.15 | | 0.00 | |
| Cyaniae, Iotal | 23 | 0.29 | J | 0.21 | J | 0.15 | U | 0.23 | J |

Notes:

1. Reporting Level: DNREC-Remediation Section (RS), February 2022, "Reporting Level Table."

2. mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram.

3. "-" : No applicable DNREC-RS Reporting Level.

4. Bold and highlighted values exceed the applicable DNREC-RS Reporting Level.

5. Soil samples analyzed for TCL VOCs were collected as discrete samples. Samples analyzed for TCL SVOCs, TCL pesticides, herbicides,

PCBs, and TAL Metals were collected as composite samples.

6. Laboratory data abbreviations:

U or ND: Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

J: Result is less than the Reporting Limit but greater than or equal to the Method Detection Limit (MDL) and the concentration is an approximate value.

B : Compound was found in the blank and sample.

Verdantas, LLC Project No. 16530

Table 2 - Confirmatory Analytical Detections for Cobalt November and December 2022 Sampling Events Rodney Reservoir Site, Wilmington, Delaware

| Client ID | DNREC-RS | SB-1 | SB-2 | SB-3 | SB-4 | SB-5 | SB-6 | SB-7 | SB-8 | SB-9 | SB-10 | SB-11 | SB-12 | SB-13 | SB-14 | SB-15 | SB-16 | SB-17 | SB-18 | SB-19 |
|---------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Lab Sample ID | Reporting Levels | 460-269445-1 | 460-269445-2 | 460-269445-3 | 460-269445-4 | 460-271751-1 | 460-271751-2 | 460-271751-3 | 460-271751-4 | 460-271751-5 | 460-271751-6 | 460-271751-7 | 460-271751-8 | 460-271751-9 | 460-271751-10 | 460-271751-11 | 460-271751-12 | 460-271751-13 | 460-271751-14 | 460-271751-15 |
| Sampling Date | Soil | 11/7/2022 | 11/7/2022 | 11/7/2022 | 11/7/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 | 12/19/2022 |
| Matrix | February 2022 | Soi | Soil | Soil | Soil | Soi | Soi | Soi |
| Unit | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg | mg/kg |
| | | Result Q | Result Q | Result Q | Result Q | Result Q | Result Q |
| | | | | | | | · | | | | | | | · | | · | | | | |
| Cobalt | 34 | 41.4 | 23.3 | 23.3 | 59.8 | 28.8 | 27.0 | 23.5 | <u>38.4</u> | 19.3 | 26.6 | 53.3 | 27.2 | 18.5 | 24.1 | 39.5 | 32.3 | 31.6 | 9.0 | 0.18 U |
| 1 | | · · · · · | · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

 Notes:

 1. Reporting Level: DNREC-Remediation Section (RS), February 2022, "Reporting Level Table."

 2. mg/Rg = milligrams per kilogram.

 3. U: Indicates that the analytek was analyzed for but not detected.

 4. Bold and highlighted values exceed the applicable DNREC-RS Reporting Level.



Figures







Attachment A ProUCL Input and Output

| | A | В | С | D | E | F | |
|----|--------|----------|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | Cobalt | D_Cobalt | | | | | |
| 2 | 41.4 | 1 | | | | | |
| 3 | 23.3 | 1 | | | | | |
| 4 | 23.3 | 1 | | | | | |
| 5 | 59.8 | 1 | | | | | |
| 6 | 28.8 | 1 | | | | | |
| 7 | 27 | 1 | | | | | |
| 8 | 23.5 | 1 | | | | | |
| 9 | 38.4 | 1 | | | | | |
| 10 | 19.3 | 1 | | | | | |
| 11 | 26.6 | 1 | | | | | |
| 12 | 53.3 | 1 | | | | | |
| 13 | 27.2 | 1 | | | | | |
| 14 | 18.5 | 1 | | | | | |
| 15 | 24.1 | 1 | | | | | |
| 16 | 39.5 | 1 | | | | | |
| 17 | 32.3 | 1 | | | | | |
| 18 | 31.6 | 1 | | | | | |
| 19 | 9 | 1 | | | | | |
| 20 | 0.18 | 0 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | |

| | A B C | D E | F | G | H | | J | K | L |
|---------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|
| 1 | | UCL Statis | ucs for Data | Sets with No | n-Detects | | | | |
| 2 | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | User Selected Options | 5 | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Date/Time of Computation | ProUCL 5.2 1/3/2023 1:3 | 1:09 PM | | | | | | |
| 5 | From File | WorkSheet.xls | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Full Precision | OFF | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Confidence Coefficient | 95% | | | | | | | |
| , 8 | Number of Bootstrap Operations | 2000 | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 10 | Cobalt | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | General | Statistics | | | | | |
| 12 | Tota | I Number of Observations | 19 | | | Numbe | er of Distinct | Observations | 18 |
| 1/ | | Number of Detects | 18 | | | | Number of | Non-Detects | 1 |
| 14 | N | umber of Distinct Detects | 17 | | | Numb | er of Distinct | Non-Detects | 1 |
| 15 | | Minimum Detect | 9 | | | | Minimun | n Non-Detect | 0.18 |
| 16 | | Maximum Detect | 59.8 | | | | Maximun | n Non-Detect | 0.18 |
| 1/ | | Variance Detects | 153.6 | | | | Percent | Non-Detects | 5 263% |
| 18 | | Moon Detects | 20.29 | | | | i elcent | SD Detects | 12 20 |
| 19 | | Median Detects | 27.1 | | | | | SD Delects | 0.409 |
| 20 | | Nieulan Delects | 27.1 | | | | 17 | | 0.400 |
| 21 | | Skewness Detects | 0.9 | | | | | tosis Detects | 1.019 |
| 22 | | Mean of Logged Detects | 3.333 | | | | SD of Log | gged Detects | 0.428 |
| 23 | | N | 100F T | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 24 | | | | t on Detects | Only | <u>.</u> | | | |
| 25 | | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.929 | | | Snapiro wi | IK GOF Test | | |
| 26 | 1% 5 | Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.858 | De | etected Data a | appear Norr | mai at 1% Sig | gnificance Lev | vel |
| 27 | | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.162 | | | Lilliefors | GOF Test | | |
| 28 | | 1% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.235 | De | etected Data a | appear Norr | mal at 1% Sig | gnificance Lev | vel |
| 29 | | Detected Data a | appear Norm | al at 1% Sigr | nificance Leve |) | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Kaplar | n-Meier (KM) Statistics usin | ig Normal Ci | itical Values | and other No | nparametrio | c UCLs | | |
| 32 | | KM Mean | 28.79 | | | KI | M Standard E | Error of Mean | 3.193 |
| 33 | | 90KM SD | 13.52 | | | | 95% KN | И (BCA) UCL | 34.04 |
| 34 | | 95% KM (t) UCL | 34.33 | | | 95% KM (F | Percentile Bo | otstrap) UCL | 33.98 |
| 35 | | 95% KM (z) UCL | 34.05 | | otstrap t UCL | 34.74 | | | |
| 36 | | 90% KM Chebyshev UCL | 38.37 | | ebyshev UCL | 42.71 | | | |
| 37 | 97 | 7.5% KM Chebyshev UCL | 48.73 | | | 1 | 99% KM Che | ebyshev UCL | 60.56 |
| 38 | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | | Gamma GOF | Tests on De | tected Obser | rvations Only | | | | |
| 40 | | A-D Test Statistic | 0.357 | | An | derson-Da | rling GOF Te | est | |
| 41 | | 5% A-D Critical Value | 0.742 | Detected | d data appear | Gamma D | istributed at ! | 5% Significan | ce Level |
| 42 | | K-S Test Statistic | 0.14 | | K | olmogorov- | -Smirnov GO | F | |
| 43 | | 5% K-S Critical Value | 0.204 | Detected | d data appear | Gamma D | istributed at ! | 5% Significan | ce Level |
| 44 | | Detected data appear | Gamma Dis | tributed at 59 | % Significance | e Level | | | |
| 45 | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | | Gamma | Statistics on | Detected Da | ata Only | | | | |
| 47 | | k hat (MLE) | 6.344 | | | k | star (bias co | rrected MLE) | 5.324 |
| 48 | | Theta hat (MLE) | 4.789 | | | Theta | star (bias co | rrected MLE) | 5.707 |
| 49 | | nu hat (MLE) | 228.4 | | | | nu star (bi | as corrected) | 191.7 |
| 50 | | Mean (detects) | 30.38 | | | | | | |
| 51 | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| 52 | | Gamma ROS | Statistics us | ing Imputed | Non-Detects | | | | |
| 53 | GROS ma | y not be used when data se | et has > 50% | NDs with m | any tied obse | rvations at | multiple DLs | | |
| 54 | GROS may not be use | d when kstar of detects is s | mall such a | s <1.0, espec | cially when the | e sample si | ze is small (e | e.g., <15-20) | |
| 5- | | | | - | | | | | |

| | A B C D E | F | | L |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| 55 | For such situations, GROS | nethod may | yield incorrect values of UCLs and BTVs | |
| 56 | | any true whe | | |
| 57 | For gamma distributed detected data, BTVs a | | y be computed using gamma distribution on KM estimates | 20.10 |
| 58 | Minimum | 7.155 | Mean | 29.16 |
| 59 | Maximum | 59.8 | Median | 27 |
| 60 | SD | 13.17 | CV | 0.452 |
| 61 | k hat (MLE) | 4.638 | k star (bias corrected MLE) | 3.941 |
| 62 | I heta hat (MLE) | 6.287 | I heta star (bias corrected MLE) | 7.399 |
| 63 | nu hat (MLE) | 176.3 | nu star (bias corrected) | 149.8 |
| 64 | Adjusted Level of Significance (β) | 100.5 | Adjusted Obj Opuera Malus (140.70, 0) | 100.0 |
| 65 | Approximate Chi Square Value (149.76, d) | 122.5 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (149.76, β) | 120.3 |
| 66 | 95% Gamma Approximate OCL | 33.00 | 95% Gamma Aujusteu UCL | 30.3 |
| 67 | Estimates of G | amma Parar | meters using KM Estimates | |
| 68 | | 28 70 | | 13 52 |
| 69 | Variance (KM) | 182.0 | SE of Mean (KM) | 3 103 |
| 70 | k hat (KM) | 102.5 | K star (KM) | 3 852 |
| 71 | nu hat (KM) | 172.2 | nu star (KM) | 146.4 |
| 72 | thata hat (KM) | 6 353 | theta star (KM) | 7 475 |
| 73 | 80% gamma percentile (KM) | 39.87 | 90% gamma percentile (KM) | 18.46 |
| 74 | 95% gamma percentile (KM) | 56.38 | 99% gamma percentile (KM) | 73 32 |
| 75 | | 00.00 | | 70.02 |
| 76 | Gamr | a Kaplan-Me | eier (KM) Statistics | |
| 77 | Approximate Chi Square Value (146.37 g) | 119.4 | Adjusted Chi Square Value (146.37 ß) | 117.3 |
| 78 | 95% KM Approximate Gamma UCI | 35.29 | 95% KM Adjusted Gamma UCI | 35.94 |
| 79 | | 00.20 | | |
| 8U 01 | Lognormal GC | F Test on De | etected Observations Only | |
| 82 | Shapiro Wilk Test Statistic | 0.943 | Shapiro Wilk GOF Test | |
| 83 | 10% Shapiro Wilk Critical Value | 0.914 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 10% Significance Le | evel |
| 84 | Lilliefors Test Statistic | 0.167 | Lilliefors GOF Test | |
| 85 | 10% Lilliefors Critical Value | 0.185 | Detected Data appear Lognormal at 10% Significance Le | evel |
| 86 | Detected Data ap | pear Lognorr | mal at 10% Significance Level | |
| 87 | | | | |
| 88 | Lognormal RO | S Statistics L | Jsing Imputed Non-Detects | |
| 89 | Mean in Original Scale | 29.32 | Maan in Log Saala | 2 20 |
| 90 | CD in Original Casta | | Mean in Log Scale | 3.20 |
| | SD in Original Scale | 12.91 | SD in Log Scale | 0.477 |
| 91 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) | 12.91 34.45 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL | 0.477 34.15 |
| 91 92 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL | 12.91 34.45 34.55 | SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 0.477 34.15 34.95 |
| 91 92 93 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 0.477 34.15 34.95 |
| 91 92 93 94 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 | SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 0.477 34.15 34.95 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL Wata and Assuming Lognormal Distribution | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL KM Geo Mean 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL KM Geo Mean 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 | SD In Original Scale 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 1.198 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL Vata and Assuming Lognormal Distribution KM Geo Mean 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 2.903 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 | SD In Original Scale 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL Rata and Assuming Lognormal Distribution KM Geo Mean 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 2.903 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 | 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL Wata and Assuming Lognormal Distribution KM Geo Mean 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 2.903 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 | SD in Original Scale 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 1.198 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL Vata and Assuming Lognormal Distribution KM Geo Mean 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 2.903 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 | SD in Original Scale 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL Wata and Assuming Lognormal Distribution KM Geo Mean 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 2.903 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 | SD in Original Scale 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) CDL/2 Normal | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 1.283 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL Vata and Assuming Lognormal Distribution KM Geo Mean 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 2.903 3.031 4.021 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 | SD in Original Scale 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM SD (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) CDL/2 Normal Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 DL/2 St 28.79 13.91 | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% In Log Scale SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 2.903 3.031 1.381 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 | SD in Original Scale 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) El 20 in Original Scale | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 DL/2 St 28.79 13.91 34.32 | tatistics DL/2 Log-Transformed SD in Log Scale SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 846 and Assuming Lognormal Distribution KM Geo Mean 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 2.903 3.031 1.381 152.1 |
| 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 | SD in Original Scale 95% t UCL (assumes normality of ROS data) 95% BCA Bootstrap UCL 95% H-UCL (Log ROS) Statistics using KM estimates KM Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) KM SD (logged) KM Standard Error of Mean (logged) CDL/2 Normal Mean in Original Scale SD in Original Scale 95% t UCL (Assumes normality) DL/2 is not a recommended me | 12.91 34.45 34.55 37.22 on Logged D 3.067 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 1.198 0.283 28.79 13.91 34.32 ethod, provid | SD in Log Scale 95% Percentile Bootstrap UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Bootstrap t UCL 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-UCL (KM -Log) 95% Critical H Value (KM-Log) 95% H-Stat UCL ed for comparisons and historical reasons | 3.28 0.477 34.15 34.95 21.48 2.903 99.92 2.903 3.031 1.381 152.1 |

| | А | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | _ | J | K | L | | |
|-----|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------|--|--|
| 109 | | | | | Nonparam | etric Distribut | tion Free UC | L Statistics | | | | | | |
| 110 | | | | Detected | l Data appea | ar Normal Dis | stributed at 19 | % Significand | æ Level | | | | | |
| 111 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | 2 Suggested UCL to Use | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 113 | 95% KM (t) UCL 34.33 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 114 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 115 | Note: Suggestions regarding the selection of a 95% UCL are provided to help the user to select the most appropriate 95% UCL. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 116 | | Recom | nmendations | are based up | on data size | , data distrib | ution, and sk | ewness using | g results fron | n simulation s | tudies. | | | |
| 117 | Ho | owever, simu | lations resul | ts will not cov | er all Real W | /orld data se | ts; for additio | nal insight th | e user may v | want to consu | lt a statistic | ian. | | |
| 118 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 119 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 121 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Attachment B Risk Assessment DERAC Output

Site-specific Risk Resident Soil Inputs

| Verieble | Resident Soil Default | Site-Specific |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Variable A (PEE Dispersion Constant) | value | value |
| A (VE Dispersion Constant) | 11 011 | 11 011 |
| A (VE Dispersion Constant - mass limit) | 11.911 | 11.011 |
| B (PEE Dispersion Constant) | 18 7762 | 18 7762 |
| B (VE Dispersion Constant) | 18 4385 | 18.4385 |
| B (VF Dispersion Constant - mass limit) | 18 4385 | 18 4385 |
| City (PEE Climate Zone) Selection | Default | Default |
| City (VE Climate Zone) Selection | Default | Default |
| C (PEE Dispersion Constant) | 216 108 | 216 108 |
| C (VE Dispersion Constant) | 209.7845 | 209.7845 |
| C (VF Dispersion Constant - mass limit) | 209.7845 | 209.7845 |
| foc (fraction organic carbon in soil) g/g | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| F(x) (function dependent on U /U) unitless | 0.194 | 0.194 |
| n (total soil porosity) L/L | 0.43396 | 0.43396 |
| p (dry soil bulk density) g/cm ³ | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| p, (dry soil bulk density - mass limit) g/cm ³ | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| PEF (particulate emission factor) m ³ /kg | 1359344438 | 1359344438 |
| p _e (soil particle density) g/cm ³ | 2.65 | 2.65 |
| Q/C _{wind} (g/m ² -s per kg/m ³) | 93.77 | 93.77 |
| Q/C _{ural} (g/m ² -s per kg/m ³) | 68.18 | 68.18 |
| Q/C _{url} (g/m ² -s per kg/m ³ - mass limit) | 68.18 | 68.18 |
| A _c (PEF acres) | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| A _c (VF acres) | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| A, (VF mass-limit acres) | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| AF _{0.2} (mutagenic skin adherence factor) mg/cm ² | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| AF _{2.6} (mutagenic skin adherence factor) mg/cm ² | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| AF _{6.16} (mutagenic skin adherence factor) mg/cm ² | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| AF _{16.26} (mutagenic skin adherence factor) mg/cm ² | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| AF _{ree,2} (skin adherence factor - adult) mg/cm ² | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| $AF_{rec.r}$ (skin adherence factor - child) mg/cm ² | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| AT _{res} (averaging time - resident carcinogenic) | 365 | 365 |

Site-specific Risk Resident Soil Inputs

| | Resident Soil | Sito Specific |
|---|------------------|---------------|
| Variable | Value | Value |
| BW _{aa} (mutagenic body weight) kg | 15 | 15 |
| BW, (mutagenic body weight) kg | 15 | 15 |
| BW ₆₁₆ (mutagenic body weight) kg | 80 | 80 |
| BW ₁₆₂₆ (mutagenic body weight) kg | 80 | 80 |
| BW _{resa} (body weight - adult) kg | 80 | 80 |
| BW _{resc} (body weight - child) kg | 15 | 15 |
| DFS _{recart} (age-adjusted soil dermal factor) mg/kg | 103390 | 103390 |
| DFSM (mutagenic age-adjusted soil dermal factor) mg/kg | 428260 | 428260 |
| ED _{re} (exposure duration) years | 26 | 26 |
| ED_{n_2} (mutagenic exposure duration) years | 2 | 2 |
| ED _{2.6} (mutagenic exposure duration) years | 4 | 4 |
| ED _{6.16} (mutagenic exposure duration) years | 10 | 10 |
| ED _{16.26} (mutagenic exposure duration) years | 10 | 10 |
| ED _{rec-a} (exposure duration - adult) years | 20 | 20 |
| ED _{rec.} (exposure duration - child) years | 6 | 6 |
| EF (exposure frequency) days/year | 350 | 350 |
| EF (mutagenic exposure frequency) days/year | 350 | 350 |
| EF _{2.6} (mutagenic exposure frequency) days/year | 350 | 350 |
| EF _{6.16} (mutagenic exposure frequency) days/year | 350 | 350 |
| EF _{16.26} (mutagenic exposure frequency) days/year | 350 | 350 |
| EF _{rec.a} (exposure frequency - adult) days/year | 350 | 350 |
| EF (exposure frequency - child) days/year | 350 | 350 |
| ET _{rec} (exposure time) hours/day | 24 | 24 |
| ET _{0.2} (mutagenic exposure time) hours/day | 24 | 24 |
| ET _{2.6} (mutagenic exposure time) hours/day | 24 | 24 |
| ET _{6.16} (mutagenic exposure time) hours/day | 24 | 24 |
| ET _{16.26} (mutagenic exposure time) hours/day | 24 | 24 |
| ET _{rec.a} (adult exposure time) hours/day | 24 | 24 |
| ET _{rec.} (child exposure time) hours/day | 24 | 24 |
| IFS _{recardi} (age-adjusted soil ingestion factor) mg/kg | 36750 | 36750 |
| IFSM _{resadi} (mutagenic age-adjusted soil ingestion factor) mg/kg | 166833.3 | 166833.3 |

Site-specific Risk Resident Soil Inputs

| Variable | Resident Soil Default Value | Site-Specific Value |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| IRS ₂₂ (mutagenic soil intake rate) mg/day | 200 | 200 |
| IRS _{2.6} (mutagenic soil intake rate) mg/day | 200 | 200 |
| IRS _{6.16} (mutagenic soil intake rate) mg/day | 100 | 100 |
| IRS _{16.76} (mutagenic soil intake rate) mg/day | 100 | 100 |
| IRS _{reca} (soil intake rate - adult) mg/day | 100 | 100 |
| IRS _{rec} (soil intake rate - child) mg/day | 200 | 200 |
| LT (lifetime) years | 70 | 70 |
| $SA_{n,2}$ (mutagenic skin surface area) cm ² /day | 2373 | 2373 |
| SA _{2.6} (mutagenic skin surface area) cm ² /day | 2373 | 2373 |
| SA _{6.16} (mutagenic skin surface area) cm ² /day | 6032 | 6032 |
| SA _{16.26} (mutagenic skin surface area) cm ² /day | 6032 | 6032 |
| SA _{rec-a} (skin surface area - adult) cm ² /day | 6032 | 6032 |
| SA _{rec} (skin surface area - child) cm ² /day | 2373 | 2373 |
| T (groundwater temperature) Celsius | 25 | 25 |
| Theta , (air-filled soil porosity) Lir/Li | 0.28396 | 0.28396 |
| Theta, (water-filled soil porosity) L $_{mater}/L_{coil}$ | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| T (exposure interval) s | 819936000 | 819936000 |
| T (exposure interval) yr | 26 | 26 |
| U_{m} (mean annual wind speed) m/s | 4.69 | 4.69 |
| U, (equivalent threshold value) | 11.32 | 11.32 |
| V (fraction of vegetative cover) unitless | 0.5 | 0.5 |

| Chemical | CAS Number | Mutagen? | VOC? | RfD (mg/kg-day) | RfD Ref | RfC (mg/m ³) | RfC Ref | SF (mg/kg-day) ^{.1} | SF Ref | IUR (ug/m ³) ⁻¹ | IUR Ref | ABS_ | ABS | Volatilization Factor Unlimited Reservoir (m³/kg) |
|-------------------|---------------|----------|------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|------------------|------|-----|---|
| Cobalt | 7440-48-4 | No | No | 3.00E-04 | PPRTV Current | 6.00E-06 | PPRTV Current | - | | 9.00E-03 | PPRTV Current | 1 | - | - |
| *Total Risk/Hl | | | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - | - | - |

| Volatilization Factor Mass Limit (m³/kg) | Volatilization Factor Selected (m³/kg) | DA | Particulate Emission Factor (m ³ /kg) | Soil Saturation Concentration (mg/kg) | RBA | HLC (atm-m³/mole) | Henry's Law Constant (unitless) | H` and HLC Ref | Henry's Law Constant Used in Calcs (unitless) | Normal Boiling Point BP (K) | BP Ref | Critical Temperature T _c \ (K) | T_\ Ref |
|---|---|----|---|--|-----|----------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|-----------|--|------------|
| - | - | - | 1.36E+09 | - | 1 | - | - | | - | 3.20E+03 | CRC | 7.40E+03 | YAWS |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | | - | |

| D _{ia} \ (cm²/s) | D _{iw} \ (cm²/s) | Soil Concentration (mg/kg) | Child Ingestion Noncarcinogenic CDI (mg/kg-day) | Child Dermal Noncarcinogenic CDI (mg/kg-day) | Child Inhalation Noncarcinogenic CDI (mg/m ³) | Adult Ingestion Noncarcinogenic CDI (mg/kg-day) | Adult Dermal Noncarcinogenic CDI (mg/kg-day) | Adult Inhalation Noncarcinogenic CDI (mg/m ³) |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| - | - | 34.33 | 4.39E-04 | - | 2.42E-08 | 4.11E-05 | - | 2.42E-08 |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| Adjusted Ingestion Noncarcinogenic CDI (mg/kg-day) 1.33E-04 | Adjusted Dermal Noncarcinogenic CDI (mg/kg-day) | Adjusted Inhalation Noncarcinogenic CDI (mg/m ³) 2.42E-08 | Ingestion Carcinogenic CDI (mg/kg-day) 4.94E-05 | Dermal Carcinogenic CDI (mg/kg-day) | Inhalation Carcinogenic CDI (ug/m ³) 8.99E-06 | Child Ingestion HQ 1.46E+00 | Child Dermal HQ | Child Inhalation HQ 4.04E-03 | Child Total HI 1.47E+00 |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.46E+00 | - | 4.04E-03 | 1.47E+00 |

| Adult Ingestion HQ | Adult Dermal HQ | Adult Inhalation HQ | Adult Total HI | Adjusted Ingestion HQ | Adjusted Dermal HQ | Adjusted Inhalation HQ | Adjusted Total HI | Ingestion Risk | Dermal Risk | Inhalation Risk | Total Risk |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 1.37E-01 | - | 4.04E-03 | 1.41E-01 | 4.43E-01 | - | 4.04E-03 | 4.47E-01 | - | - | 8.10E-08 | 8.10E-08 |
| 1.37E-01 | - | 4.04E-03 | 1.41E-01 | 4.43E-01 | - | 4.04E-03 | 4.47E-01 | - | - | 8.10E-08 | 8.10E-08 |